



TW

Form: PTO/SB/17 (Modified)

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL	Attorney Docket No.	95-463	
	Application Number	09/817,055	
	Filing Date	March 27, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	MARTIN	
	Group Art Unit	2154	
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	\$ 0	Examiner Name	CHANG, Jungwon

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/2003)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	39	45	0 ⁽³⁾	X \$18.00 =	\$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	5	5	0	X \$88.00 =	\$0
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of ____, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$420); 3 months (\$950); 4 months (\$1,480); 5 months (\$2,010)):					
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					+
Total of above Calculations =					\$0
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					-
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$0

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.

AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:

Deposit Account No.: 50-1130

OrderNo.: (Client/Matter) 95-463

SUBMITTED BY: LEON R. TURKEVICH, ESQ.

Typed Name	Leon R. Turkevich	Reg. No.	34,035
Signature		Date	January 3, 2006

Docket No.: 95-463



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

MARTIN et al.

Serial No.: 09/817,055

Filed: March 27, 2001

:
:
:
:
:
:

Group Art Unit: 2154

Examiner: CHANG, Jungwon

For: WEB BASED MANAGEMENT OF HOST COMPUTERS IN AN OPEN PROTOCOL
NETWORK

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Official Action mailed October 3, 2005, Applicant submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1, 3-11, 13-19, 22-26, 28-36, and 38-45 are pending in the application.

Claims 1, 3-8, 11, 13-19, 22-26, 28-33, 36, and 38-43 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,339,750 to Hoyer in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0120727 by Curley. This rejection is respectfully traversed. The arguments submitted July 11, 2005 regarding Hoyer are incorporated in their entirety herein by reference.

The Examiner demonstrates a remarkable disregard for applicant's arguments, and the explicit teachings of the references. In particular, the Examiner alleges in paragraph 23 to have considered the "substance" of applicant's arguments, while disregarding the accompanying

Response Filed January 3, 2006
Appln. No. 09/817,055
Page 1